

October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Peck  
3075 Marston Way  
San Jose, CA 95148  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Michalski  
4 Brae Bum Court  
Saint Charles, MO 63303  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ken Engel  
601 Gravatt Drive  
Berkeley, CA 94705  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rick Avant  
13411 Wakewood Dr.  
San Antonio, TX 78233  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Omar Shami  
160 West 800 South #9  
Payson, UT 84651  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Bill Rickords  
8010 Westlakes  
Wichita, KS 67205  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Lee  
2651 N Kennedy St  
Orange, CA 92865  
USA

October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.


A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Powell  
8951 Hubbard St #3  
Culver City, CA 90232  
USA





October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

James Riggs  
557 Creekview Drive  
Pelham, AL 35124  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William Arlofski  
8 Joray Rd  
Sharon, CT 06069  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Dan Fitzpatrick  
678 east 44th street  
Indianapolis, IN 46205  
USA

\* \* \* JOURNAL ( OCT. 12. 2003 12:46AM ) \* \* \*

TTI CHAIRMAN POWELL

## &lt; TRANSMISSION &gt;

DATE	TIME	ADDRESS	MODE	TIME	PAGE	RESULT	PERSONAL NAME	FILE
------	------	---------	------	------	------	--------	---------------	------

## &lt; RECEPTION &gt;

DATE	TIME	ADDRESS	MODE	TIME	PAGE	RESULT	PERSONAL NAME	FILE
------	------	---------	------	------	------	--------	---------------	------

OCT. 11.	10:40PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3085
	10:41PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3086
	10:46PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3087
	10:47PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3088
	10:51PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3089
	10:52PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3090
	10:55PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3091
	10:56PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3092
	11:02PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3093
	11:02PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3094
	11:06PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:32*	P.	1	OK	3095
	11:07PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3096
	11:10PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:36*	P.	1	OK	3097
	11:11PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3098
	11:16PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3099
	11:16PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3100
	11:20PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3101
	11:21PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:44*	P.	1	OK	3102
	11:26PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3103
	11:26PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3104
	11:31PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3105
	11:31PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:32*	P.	1	OK	3106
	11:36PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:36*	P.	1	OK	3107
	11:37PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3108
11:41PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3109	
11:42PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3110	
11:46PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3111	
11:46PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3112	
11:50PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3113	
11:51PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3114	
11:55PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3115	
11:56PM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3116	
12:01AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3117	
12:01AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3118	
12:05AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3119	
12:06AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3120	
12:11AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:32*	P.	1	OK	3121	
12:12AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3122	
12:15AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3123	
12:16AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3124	
12:21AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3125	
12:21AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:30*	P.	1	OK	3126	
12:26AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3127	
12:30AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3128	
12:32AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3129	
12:36AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3130	
12:37AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3131	
12:40AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3132	
12:42AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3133	
12:46AM	5413023099	G3ES	0:31*	P.	1	OK	3134	

TX 003815


# : BATCH  
M : MEMORY TX  
S : STANDARD  
!\$ : REMOTE TRANSFER  
+ : ROUTING

C : CONFIDENTIAL  
L : SEND LATER  
D : DETAIL  
B : FAX ON DEMAND

RX 030034

\$ : TRANSFER  
@ : FORWARDING  
F : FINE  
\* : PC

P : POLLING  
E : ECM  
> : REDUCTION  
% : PC DIRECT



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Scott Merrill  
26 Olentangy St  
Columbus, OH 43202  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

alan berkner  
1460 quinlan ave. s.  
Lakeland, MN 55043  
USA

October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jim Eubanks, Jr.  
2923 Bay Street  
Gulf Breeze, FL 32563  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.


A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

David Trest  
PO Box 1662  
Edmond, OK 73083  
USA





October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Peterson  
4105 W Rochelle Rd  
Irving, TX 75062  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Christensen  
330 W. Diversey  
#1002  
# 1002  
Chicago, IL 60657  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Patka  
1095 Prouty Way  
San Jose, CA 95129  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Matthew Jones  
425 James Ave.  
Mansfield, OH 44907  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Michael Moles  
757 SE 17th St. #281  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316  
USA

October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Douglas MacIntyre  
1507 S Duke St  
York, PA 17403  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,


I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Jody Bruchon  
116 Midway Drive  
Hillsborough, NC 27278  
USA



October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.


A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

John Trapasso  
6 Salem Dr  
Greensburg, PA 15601  
USA





October 11, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Benigno  
916 Magnolia St  
Lake Jackson, TX 77566  
USA